


Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be renewed for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission	7	Application Number	09/922.210
		Filing Date	08/03/2001
		First Named Inventor	Sanderlin, Sean
		Art Unit	3629
		Examiner Name	Naresh Vig
		Attorney Docket Number	P-99996.886


ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/ declarations(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): Acknowledgement Postcard	Remarks

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	Jackson Walker L.L.P.		
Signature			
Printed Name	Richard R. Ruble		
Date	October 11, 2005	Reg. No.	45,720

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature			
Typed or printed name	Margie Turner	Date	October 11, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application Of: § Attorney Docket No.: P-99996.886
Sean Sanderlin §
§
Serial No.: 09/922,210 § Group Art Unit: 3629
§
Filed: August 3, 2001 § Examiner: Naresh Vig
§
Title: COMPUTERIZED CONFLICT §
CHECKING SYSTEM §
§

Mail Stop Amendment/Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED JULY 12, 2005

This correspondence is being filed as a full response to the Office Action of July 12, 2005. Applicant respectfully requests entry of the following amendments and consideration of the appended remarks for reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections/objections.

In the Office Action dated July 12, 2005, the Examiner rejected Claims 1-4 under 35 USC §103(a) arguing that such claims were unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,774,886 (Horwitz).